A ppendix A: D ata and data collection

## Czech Republic

In 2005, a sample of dwellings was selected using a stratified two-stage design:

- Stratification of the Census Enumerations Units (CEUs-small geographical units) by region (NUTS4) and number of residents.
- At the first stage, selection of CEUs with probability proportional to the number of dwellings.
- At the second stage, simple random selection of dwellings within each CEU.

All the households and the individuals living in the selected dwellings were then eligiblefor interview.

- N umber of household interviews completed and accepted for database: 4,351
- Number of personal interviews completed: 8,628


## Estonia

The 2004 Estonian EU-SILC sample had been selected according to the following sampling procedure:

- Stratification by county level: "big" counties, "small" counties and the Hiiu County, which forms a separate stratum as the smallest county in terms of population size.
- Systematic selection of persons aged 14 and over in each stratum.
- All the households the selected persons belong to had been then interviewed.

The 2004 sample had been divided into four rotation groups according to the standard rotational design. However, in 2005, in order to ensureminimum sizes, all the groups were kept, which means that all the individuals selected in 2004 were recontacted in 2005. In addition, a new sample of persons was selected according to the same procedure as the 2004 one.

- Number of household interviews completed and accepted for database: 4,169
- N umber of personal interviews completed: 9,643


## H ungary

The 2005 EU-SILC sample in H ungary was selected by a stratified two-stage sampling design in a part of the population and by stratified one-stage design in the other part. Thefinal sampling units are the dwellings and, in each of them, every household is observed.
Localities werestratified by General Election Districts and size (in terms of number of dwellings). In thefirst part, one locality was selected with probability proportional to the number of dwellings. Within each selected locality, a systematic selection of dwellings was done. As for the other part of the population, a systematic selection of dwellings was done in each stratum.

- N umber of household interviews completed and accepted for database: 6,927
- N umber of personal interviews completed: 14,791


## Latvia

The Latvian EU-SILC samplewas selected in 2005 according to a stratified two-stage design:

- Stratification based on degree of urbanisation: Riga (the capital city), the six largest towns, other towns and rural areas.
- At the first stage, Census areas had been selected in each stratum with probability proportional to the number of households.
- At the second stage, a simple random sample of addresses was selected within each area.

All the households and the individuals living in the selected addresses were contacted.

- N umber of household interviews completed and accepted for database: 3,843
- Number of personal interviews completed: 7,913


## Lithuania

The Lithuanian EU-SILC sample was selected in 2005 according to the following design:

- Stratification based on degree of urbanisation: the 5 largest cities, other cities and rural area.
- A simple random sample of non-institutional persons aged 16 and over was selected from the Population Register.
Finally, all the households the selected persons belong to were then interviewed.
- Number of household interviews completed and accepted for database: 4,441
- Number of personal interviews completed: 9,929


## Poland

The Polish EU-SILC sample was selected in 2005 according to a stratified two-stage design:

- Stratification based on NUTS2 region and degree of urbanisation.
- At the first stage, Census areas were selected with probability proportional to the number of dwellings.
- At the second stage, a simple random sample of dwellings was selected.

All the households and the individuals living in the selected dwellings were eligible for contact.

- N umber of household interviews completed and accepted for database: 16,263
- Number of personal interviews completed: 37,671


## Slovakia

In 2005, a stratified simple random sample of dwellings was selected. Stratification was based on geographical criteria (NUTS3 region) and degree of urbanisation. All the households and the individuals living in the selected dwellings were contacted.

- N umber of household interviews completed and accepted for database: 5,147
- N umber of personal interviews completed: 12,879


## Slovenia

The sample for the Slovenian EU-SILC 2005 was selected according to a stratified two-stage design. First, Enumeration areas were systematically selected in each stratum as Primary Sampling Units (PSU). At the second stage, 7 persons aged 16 and over were sel ected within the PSUs. The strata were defined according to the size of the settlement and its proportion of agricultural households. Finally, all thehouseholds the selected persons belong to were eligible for contact.

- Number of household interviews completed and accepted for database: 8,287
- N umber of personal interviews completed: 23,862

A ppendix B.1: The variables in the first binominal logistic regression

|  |  | N | Share in \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household size in categories |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 9063 | 20.1 |
|  | 2 | 12525 | 27.8 |
|  | 3 | 9169 | 20.4 |
|  | 4 | 8719 | 19.4 |
|  | 5 | 3423 | 7.6 |
|  | 6 or more | 2116 | 4.7 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |
|  | Never married | 5917 | 13.1 |
|  | Married | 27086 | 60.2 |
|  | Widowed | 7354 | 16.3 |
|  | Separated/ Divorced | 4658 | 10.3 |
| Activity status |  |  |  |
|  | Employed | 23862 | 53.0 |
|  | Unemployed | 2122 | 4.7 |
|  | Retired | 16480 | 36.6 |
|  | Inactive | 2551 | 5.7 |
| Number of children |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 25897 | 57.5 |
|  | 1 | 4792 | 10.6 |
|  | 2 | 5238 | 11.6 |
|  | 3 or more | 1902 | 4.2 |
|  | 1 or more(special cases) | 7186 | 16.0 |
| Country of birth |  |  |  |
|  | Country of residence | 42097 | 93.5 |
|  | Other country | 2918 | 6.5 |
| Urbanization degree (a) |  |  |  |
|  | Densely populated | 14661 | 37.3 |
|  | Intermediately populated | 6109 | 15.5 |
|  | Thinly populated | 18532 | 47.2 |
| Valid |  | 45015 |  |
| Missing |  | 38 |  |
| Total |  | 45053 |  |

N otes: (a) Slovenia excluded

A ppendix B.2: The variables in the logistic regressions with the specific household types

|  |  | N | Share in \% |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Household type |  |  |  |
|  | Singlemale | 2221 | 5.0 |
|  | Singlefemale | 2372 | 5.3 |
|  | Singleparent with child(ren) | 1919 | 4.3 |
|  | Couplew/ o children | 5850 | 13.1 |
|  | Couple with 1-2 child(ren) | 10031 | 22.4 |
|  | Couple with 3+children | 1902 | 4.3 |
|  | Elderly couple | 5522 | 12.3 |
|  | Singlemale dderly | 943 | 2.1 |
|  | Singlefemale elderly | 3517 | 7.9 |
|  | Other w/ o children | 5189 | 11.6 |
|  | Other with child(ren) | 5259 | 11.8 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Country of birth | Country of residence | 41818 | 93.5 |
|  | Other country | 2907 | 6.5 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Employed | 23679 | 52.9 |
|  | Unemployed | 2111 | 4.7 |
|  | Retired | 16407 | 36.7 |
|  | Inactive | 2528 | 5.7 |
| Urbanization degree(a) |  |  |  |
|  | Densely populated | 14527 | 37.2 |
|  | Intermediately populated | 6073 | 15.6 |
|  | Thinly populated | 18411 | 47.2 |
|  |  | 44725 |  |
| Total |  | 328 |  |

Notes: (a) Slovenia excluded

A ppendix C: The variables of the multidimensional well-being index

|  | N | Min. | Max. | Mean | Std. Dev. | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HHIncome | 45053 | -10705 | 95330 | 4075 | 3492 | Equivalised disposable income |
| ArrRent | 45018 | 1 | 3 | 2.79 | 0.459 | A rrears on mortgage or rent payments in last 12 months |
| ArrUtility | 43832 | 1 | 2 | 1.86 | 0.350 | Arrears on utility bills in last 12 months |
| ArrLoan | 45037 | 1 | 3 | 2.69 | 0.536 | A rrears on loan payments in last 12 months |
| Unexpected | 44864 | 1 | 2 | 1.57 | 0.495 | Capacity to face unexpected financial Expenses |
| Povertylnd | 45053 | 0 | 1 | 0.16 | 0.368 | Poverty Indicator (<60\% of median income) |
| WorkContract | 34399 | 1 | 2 | 1.10 | 0.294 | Type of contract |
| Phone | 45046 | 1 | 3 | 1.11 | 0.402 | Do you have a telephone (including mobile phone)? |
| TV | 45051 | 1 | 3 | 1.05 | 0.272 | Do you have a colour TV? |
| Computer | 45027 | 1 | 3 | 1.97 | 0.884 | Do you have a computer? |
| WashMach | 45049 | 1 | 3 | 1.10 | 0.388 | Do you have a washing machine? |
| WashingF | 45053 | 1 | 2 | 1.11 | 0.313 | Bath or shower in dwelling |
| Toilet | 45053 | 1 | 2 | 1.11 | 0.314 | Indoor flushing toilet for sole use of household |
| ProbLight | 45045 | 1 | 2 | 1.91 | 0.285 | Problems with the dwelling: too dark. not enough light |
| ProbWater | 45048 | 1 | 2 | 1.70 | 0.458 | Leaking roof, damp walls/ floors/ foundation, or rot in window frames or floor |
| Utility | 45045 | 1 | 2 | 1.20 | 0.399 | A bility to keep home adequately warm |
| Edulevel | 45053 | 0 | 5 | 2.94 | 1.224 | Highest education level attained |
| EcoStatus | 45016 | 1 | 9 | 3.00 | 2.168 | Self-defined current economic status |
| Activity | 45053 | 1 | 4 | 1.95 | 1.059 | Activity Status |
| Holiday | 45053 | 1 | 2 | 1.62 | 0.485 | Capacity to afford paying for one week annual holiday away from home |
| Car | 45053 | 1 | 3 | 1.69 | 0.824 | Do you have a car? |
| HealthGen | 45053 | 1 | 5 | 2.78 | 0.980 | General health |
| HealthChron | 45053 | 1 | 2 | 1.60 | 0.490 | Suffer from any a chronic (long-standing) illness or condition |
| HealthLimit | 45053 | 1 | 3 | 2.59 | 0.662 | Limitation in activities because of health problems |
| HealthUnmet | 45043 | 1 | 2 | 1.84 | 0.364 | Unmet need for medical examination or treatment |
| UnmetReason1 | 45027 | 0 | 8 | 0.52 | 1.514 | Main reason for unmet need for medical examination or treatment |
| Dentist | 45044 | 1 | 2 | 1.89 | 0.310 | Unmet need for dental examination or treatment |
| UnmetReason2 | 45036 | 0 | 8 | 0.32 | 1.172 | M ain reason for unmet need for dental examination or treatment |
| BurHouse | 45053 | 1 | 3 | 1.79 | 0.656 | Financial burden of the total housing cost |
| BurLoan | 45053 | 1 | 4 | 3.42 | 1.036 | Financial burden of the repayment of debts from hire purchases or loans |
| EndsMeet | 45053 | 1 | 6 | 2.81 | 1.117 | A bility to make ends meet |
| ProbNoise | 45024 | 1 | 2 | 1.81 | 0.394 | N oise from neighbours or from the street |
| ProbEnv | 45020 | 1 | 2 | 1.83 | 0.373 | Pollution. grime or other environmental problems |
| ProbCrime | 45021 | 1 | 2 | 1.87 | 0.334 | Crime violence or vandalism in the area |

A ppendix D : The categories of the variables in the multidimensional well-being index

| Cat. Description | Cat. Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 <20th percentile | 1 Very bad health |
| 2 20th-40th percentile | 2 Bad health |
| 3 40th-60th percentile | 3 Fair health |
| 4 60th-80th percentile | 4 Good health |
| 5 >80th percentile | 5 Very good health |
| 1 A rrears on threetypes of payment | 1 Strongly limited by heal th problems |
| 2 Arrears on two types of payment | 2 Limited by health problems |
| 3 Arrears on onetype of payment | 3 No chronic problems, but limited |
| 4 No arrears on payment | 4 Health problems, but not limited |
| 5 No arrears, and no rent or loan costs | 5 No health problems |
| 1 Poor, temporary job, cannot cope with shocks | 1 Unmet need for heal th treatment and dentist |
| 2 Two types of financial vulnerability | 2 Only unmet need for health treatment |
| 3 One type of vulnerability, and no self-employment | 3 Only unmet need for dentist (not available) |
| 4 One type of vulnerability, but self-employment | 4 Only unmet need for dentist (other reason) |
| 5 Nofinancial vulnerability | 5 No unmet need for heal th services |
| 1 0 durablegoods in household | 1 Heavy burden of housing and loan costs |
| 21 durablegood in household | 2 Heavy burden of housing or loan costs |
| 32 durablegoods in household | 3 Somew hat a burden of housing and loan costs |
| 43 durablegoods in household | 4 Somewhat a burden of housing or loan costs |
| 54 durablegoods in household | 5 No housing burden, no loan |
| 1 No toilet or bathroom | 1 Very difficult to make ends meet |
| 2 Only toilet or bathroom | 2 Difficult to make ends meet |
| 3 | 3 Somewhat difficult to makeends meet |
| 4 | 4 Fairly easy to makeends meet |
| 5 Both toilet and bathroom | 5 (Very) easy to make ends meet |
| 1 Water, light and utility problems | 1 Problems with crime, pollution and noise |
| 2 Two types of problems with housing | 2 Two types of problems in living environment |
| 3 Only a problem to keep the home warm | 3 Only problems with crime |
| 4 Somehousing, but no utility problems | 4 Problem in living environment, but no crime |
| 5 No housing problems | 5 No problems in living environment |
| 1 <Secondary education |  |
| 2 Lower secondary education |  |
| 3 Higher secondary education |  |
| 4 Post-secondary education |  |
| 5 Tertiary Education |  |
| 1 Unemployed |  |
| 2 Inactive, and self-defined unemployed |  |
| 3 Other inactive |  |
| 4 Retired |  |
| 5 Employed |  |
| 1 Cannot afford holiday, nor car |  |
| 2 No holiday and no car/ just cannot afford car |  |
| 3 Cannot go on holiday, but has car |  |
| 4 Can go on holiday, but has no car |  |
| 5 Can go on holiday and has car |  |

A ppendix E1: Binominal logistic regression with Slovenia

|  | 40\% poverty line |  |  |  | 20\% poverty line |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Poor |  | Deprived |  | Poor |  | Deprived |  |
|  | Exp(B) | Sig. | Exp(B) | Sig. | Exp(B) | Sig. | Exp(B) | Sig. |
| Household Size | 0.877 | 0.000 | 0.940 | 0.000 | 0.902 | 0.000 | 0.948 | 0.000 |
| Never married | 0.869 | 0.001 | 0.692 | 0.000 | 0.885 | 0.012 | 0.682 | 0.000 |
| M arried | 0.724 | 0.000 | 0.574 | 0.000 | 0.667 | 0.000 | 0.471 | 0.000 |
| Widowed | 1.064 | 0.124 | 1.133 | 0.002 | 1.027 | 0.582 | 0.975 | 0.569 |
| Separated/ Divorced | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - |
| Employed | 0.385 | 0.000 | 0.221 | 0.000 | 0.342 | 0.000 | 0.234 | 0.000 |
| Unemployed | 1.777 | 0.000 | 2.168 | 0.000 | 2.109 | 0.000 | 2.513 | 0.000 |
| Retired | 0.602 | 0.000 | 0.815 | 0.000 | 0.447 | 0.000 | 0.897 | 0.028 |
| Inactive | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - |
| No dependent children | 0.497 | 0.000 | 0.794 | 0.000 | 0.513 | 0.000 | 0.758 | 0.000 |
| 1 child | 0.587 | 0.000 | 0.674 | 0.000 | 0.621 | 0.000 | 0.626 | 0.000 |
| 2 children | 0.789 | 0.000 | 0.639 | 0.000 | 0.824 | 0.000 | 0.620 | 0.000 |
| 3+children | 2.293 | 0.000 | 1.508 | 0.000 | 2.437 | 0.000 | 1.543 | 0.000 |
| 1+children (special cases) | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - |
| Born in same country | 0.882 | 0.002 | 0.860 | 0.000 | 0.856 | 0.001 | 0.907 | 0.037 |
| Born in another country | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - |

Notes: Variable on urbanization degree excluded, (b) reference category
A ppendix E2: M odel description of the binominal logistic regression

| Dependent variable | N | df | -2 LL | R 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poor with 40\% poverty line | 45015 | 12 | 58020.107 | 0.079 |
| Poor with 40\% deprivation line | 45015 | 12 | 53436.083 | 0.211 |
| Poor with 20\% poverty line | 45015 | 12 | 42041.229 | 0.092 |
| Poor with 20\% deprivation line | 45015 | 12 | 41682.081 | 0.189 |

A ppendix F1: M ultinomial regression socio-demographic groups (40\% poverty lines)

|  | State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | EXP(B) | Sig. | EXP(B) | Sig. |
| Category | EXP(B) | Sig. | E. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single male | 0.923 | 0.322 | 0.956 | 0.648 | 1.692 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single female | 0.986 | 0.855 | 1.057 | 0.539 | 1.534 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single parent with child(ren) | 1.232 | 0.016 | 1.028 | 0.800 | 2.404 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couple w/ o children | 0.374 | 0.000 | 0.677 | 0.000 | 0.498 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couple with 1-2 child(ren) | 0.788 | 0.000 | 0.557 | 0.000 | 0.692 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couple with 3+children | 1.811 | 0.000 | 0.768 | 0.037 | 2.138 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elderly couple | 0.621 | 0.000 | 1.024 | 0.722 | 0.638 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single male elderly | 0.732 | 0.022 | 0.792 | 0.043 | 0.923 | 0.392 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single female elderly | 0.903 | 0.295 | 1.530 | 0.000 | 2.088 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other w/ o children | 0.355 | 0.000 | 0.864 | 0.033 | 0.484 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other with child(ren) | $0(\mathrm{~b})$ | - | $0(\mathrm{~b})$ | - | $0(\mathrm{~b})$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Born in country of residence | 0.822 | 0.005 | 0.959 | 0.545 | 0.670 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Born in another country | $0(\mathrm{~b})$ | - | $0(\mathrm{~b})$ | - | $0(\mathrm{~b})$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed | 0.457 | 0.000 | 0.247 | 0.000 | 0.128 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployed | 0.963 | 0.778 | 1.787 | 0.000 | 2.567 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retired | 0.428 | 0.000 | 1.089 | 0.318 | 0.529 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inactive | $0(\mathrm{~b})$ | - | $0(\mathrm{~b})$ | - | $0(\mathrm{~b})$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Densely populated | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.955 | 0.218 | 0.422 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediately populated | 0.671 | 0.000 | 1.067 | 0.175 | 0.479 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thinly populated | $0(\mathrm{~b})$ | - | $0(\mathrm{~b})$ | - | $0(\mathrm{~b})$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes: Slovenia excluded, (b) reference category

A ppendix F2: M ultinomial regression socio-demographic groups (20\% poverty lines)

|  | State |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  |
| Category | $\operatorname{EXP}(\mathrm{B})$ | Sig. | EXP(B) | Sig. | $\operatorname{EXP}(\mathrm{B})$ | Sig. |
| Single male | 1.423 | 0.000 | 1.136 | 0.203 | 2.544 | 0.000 |
| Singlefemale | 1.188 | 0.043 | 1.313 | 0.001 | 1.480 | 0.000 |
| Single parent with child(ren) | 1.932 | 0.000 | 1.706 | 0.000 | 3.105 | 0.000 |
| Couple w/ o children | 0.534 | 0.000 | 0.713 | 0.000 | 0.641 | 0.000 |
| Couple with 1-2 child(ren) | 0.998 | 0.974 | 0.649 | 0.000 | 0.761 | 0.000 |
| Couple with 3+children | 2.406 | 0.000 | 1.009 | 0.942 | 2.501 | 0.000 |
| Elderly couple | 0.502 | 0.000 | 0.937 | 0.331 | 0.425 | 0.000 |
| Single male edderly | 0.715 | 0.030 | 0.850 | 0.131 | 0.952 | 0.659 |
| Singlefemale elderly | 1.089 | 0.367 | 1.734 | 0.000 | 1.552 | 0.000 |
| Other w/ o children | 0.435 | 0.000 | 0.827 | 0.009 | 0.548 | 0.000 |
| Other with child(ren) | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - |
| Born in country of residence | 0.790 | 0.001 | 0.961 | 0.551 | 0.691 | 0.000 |
| Born in other country | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - |
| Employed | 0.350 | 0.000 | 0.230 | 0.000 | 0.134 | 0.000 |
| Unemployed | 1.205 | 0.069 | 1.844 | 0.000 | 3.288 | 0.000 |
| Retired | 0.333 | 0.000 | 1.168 | 0.041 | 0.553 | 0.000 |
| Inactive | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - |
| Densely populated | 0.481 | 0.000 | 0.823 | 0.000 | 0.420 | 0.000 |
| Intermediately populated | 0.468 | 0.000 | 0.844 | 0.000 | 0.323 | 0.000 |
| Thinly populated | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - | 0 (b) | - |

N otes: Slovenia excluded, (b) reference category
A ppendix F3: M odel description of the multinomial logistic regressions

| Dependent variable | N | df | -2 LL <br> (intercept) | -2 LL <br> (final) | R2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poverty state with 40\% the poverty lines | 39011 | 48 | 13763.985 | 3234.803 | 0.257 |
| Poverty state with 20\% the poverty lines | 39011 | 48 | 12057.970 | 3218.495 | 0.233 |

A ppendix G: Unconditional random-effects model for tests for random effects (table 4.19)

|  | Estimate | Sig. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Intercept | 3.779 | 0.000 |
| Intercept | 3.205 | 0.000 |
| GDP per head | $2.49 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.000 |
| Share of manufacturing | 3.818 | 0.000 |

A ppendix H: Estimates of household level fixed effects of the random-coefficient model

|  | Estimate | Sig. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Intercept | 3.518 | 0.000 |
| Single male | -0.093 | 0.131 |
| Single female | -0.080 | 0.192 |
| Single parent child(ren) | -0.166 | 0.007 |
| Couplew/ o children | 0.104 | 0.087 |
| Couple with 1-2 child(ren) | 0.083 | 0.144 |
| Couple with 3+children | -0.096 | 0.121 |
| Elderly couple | 0.066 | 0.282 |
| Single male elderly | -0.009 | 0.888 |
| Single femaleelderly | -0.159 | 0.009 |
| Other w/ o children | 0.070 | 0.249 |
| Other with child(ren) | $0(\mathrm{~b})$ | - |
| Employed | 0.458 | 0.000 |
| Unemployed | -0.307 | 0.000 |
| Retired | 0.044 | 0.404 |
| Inactive | $0(\mathrm{~b})$ | - |
| Model statistics | -2 RLL | AIC |
|  | 77793.26 | 78067.26 |

N otes: (b) reference category
A ppendix I: Estimates for the household level and regional level fixed effects in the complete multilevel model including regional variables

|  | Estimate | Sig. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Intercept | 3.197 | 0.000 |
| Single male | -0.093 | 0.124 |
| Single female | -0.080 | 0.184 |
| Single parent child(ren) | -0.167 | 0.006 |
| Couplew/ o children | 0.104 | 0.082 |
| Couple with 1-2 child(ren) | 0.083 | 0.137 |
| Couple with 3+children | -0.096 | 0.114 |
| Elderly couple | 0.066 | 0.273 |
| Single male elderly | -0.009 | 0.889 |
| Single female elderly | -0.159 | 0.008 |
| Other w/ o children | 0.070 | 0.240 |
| Other with child(ren) | $0(\mathrm{~b})$ | - |
| Employed | 0.446 | 0.000 |
| Unemployed | -0.284 | 0.000 |
| Retired | 0.018 | 0.442 |
| Inactive | $0(\mathrm{~b})$ | - |
| Share of manufacturing in employment | 3.384 | 0.018 |
| Model statistics | -2 RLL | AIC |
|  | 83344.15 | 83618.15 |

Notes: Slovenia excluded, (b) reference category

A ppendix J: Estimates for the fixed and random effects of the final multilevel model including unemployment rate

|  | Estimate | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercept | 3.056 | 0.000 |
| Single | -0.173 | 0.000 |
| 3+children or single parent | -0.162 | 0.000 |
| Elderly | -0.226 | 0.000 |
| Female head | -0.040 | 0.009 |
| Single*EIderly | 0.043 | 0.044 |
| Elderly*Female head | -0.123 | 0.000 |
| Unemployed | -0.590 | 0.000 |
| Urbanization degree | 0.057 | 0.000 |
| Share of manufacturing in employment | 4.761 | 0.000 |
| GDP per capita | 2.2E-05 | 0.000 |
| Unemployment rate 15 years and older | 0.012 | 0.003 |
| Model Statistics | -2RLL | AIC |
|  | 56060.84 | 56074.84 |
| Intercept\| Region | Old \| Region | Urban2\| Region |
| Intercept \| Region 0.00975 | 6.5E-05 | -0.00338 |
| Old \| Region 6.5E-05 | 0.00308 | -0.00111 |
| Urban2\| Region $\quad \mathbf{- 0 . 0 0 3 3 8}$ | -0.00111 | 0.00184 |

